GOING DEEPER INTO A NEW FINANCIAL ARCHITECTURE

Marcos Arruda¹

The argument about the Canadian banking system, presented by Theresa Tedesco,² is sound but restricted to the borders of the system of capital. I argue on behalf of a more radical solution to the global financial mayhem.

1. The main issue to tackle is NOT that of how much regulation to introduce in the financial and banking systems - national and international. It is, rather, the goal these systems are designed to serve. If it is private profit, then nothing will really change. If it is economic and social/human

development on an environmentally sustainable basis, then we can say a new dawn and a new hope are visible in the horizon.

2. Implications of this, however, are also radical. A profound change in lifestyles is demanded in the Global North. The aim is an economy of enough: limit the growth of material production, decommodify and release the production and exchange of immaterial products! The recession that has settled in the US in recent months would be welcome, if it were not punishing so brutally those who are more vulnerable, working families, the unemployed, migrants. the The inequality of income and wealth is reflected the inequality distribution of the costs of the crisis.

¹ Economist and educator of PACS – Institute of Alternative Policies for the Southern Cone (Rio de Janeiro), facilitator at the International University for Peace (Unipaz) and the Gaia Education Program, and fellow of the Transnational Institute (Amsterdam).

² "The Great Solvent North", op-ed article in The National Post, Feb. 28, 2009.

Less consumption of energy and natural resources, less consumption of superfluous items, simpler and more frugal modes of living, radical reduction of the number of automobiles and CO2 emissions, less production of wastes, less -and more selectiveindustry, fairly distributed healthier foods. saner cities and rural environments, these should be the goals the banking systems should be serving.

- 3. For the Global South, economic growth is still desirable, but within the limits posed by Nature and its biomes, and by the right of future generations to a dignified livelihood. Therefore, it should be subject to community and State planning and democratic, participatory management.
- 4. A new financial architecture demands the recognition that money should no longer be dealt with as a commodity. Money should serve higher purposes: that of being a symbol of human labor, knowledge and creativity, and that of being a means of exchange that gives people purchasing power to care for their needs, and facilitates the socioeconomic interaction between

persons, communities and countries. In other words, money and the systems regulating its flows should be legally defined as a public service. The profit motive should give space to the social motive.

- 5. This implies at least three crucial dimensions of a solidarity-based, integral development paradigm:
- a) The State should own and manage the main financial institutions, those which would make money and finance a service to the social economy, and the foundation for investment policies directed to socioeconomic and human development. A country can control its development if, and only if, it controls its money and finance The State would (Keynes). be responsible to manage national finance in a perspective of evening inequalities and orchestrating diversity of approaches to localcommunity development. It would be in a position to maximize the gains of the creative use of the Proportionality principle.
- b) The State should acknowledge the right of communities to create and manage their own banks and financial institutions, provided they

serve the same public goals, instead of private profit. Financial sovereignty, then, would belong to the people, who would own and manage the institutions that gather most of the savings of their own labor. This would facilitate and stimulate local socioeconomic development, making the best use of the Subsidiarity principle.

c) Finally, the State should acknowledge the right of communities to create their own currencies, with purpose of increasing production for local needs and making the new wealth circulate, as much as possible, within the community itself. Enhancing their sovereignty over their own economy, finance and currency would allow them to direct investment to use value products, rather than exchange value products, thus serving needs and wants best while keeping away from the temptation of unlimited economic growth and the voracity of capital accumulation. It clearly implies, therefore, a new paradigm and legal understanding of property, away from exclusive. private property productive goods and financial means.3

6. In addition, the new financial architecture should be concerned with the fair distribution of wealth and income in the perspective of freeing people's necessary labor time for higher purposes. Public managers should stir tax and fiscal reforms that would reduce social inequalities. Sharing the gains productivity through progressive tax policy and fair fiscal policy, and also the establishment of through citizens' basic remuneration, would stimulate socioeconomic development while increasing the levels of well being and happiness for all.

7. New development indicators are a must. The GNP can serve a very limited purpose and is deceiving when integral, and not only economic, development is taken into account. The GNH - Gross National Happiness index - is one of the creative methods that transcends the GNP. It has the power to reorient investment and economic activity towards its ultimate legitimate goals: social and human development, well being and happiness. Taking the human being as the reference and goal of economic development, this index

3

_

³ Pascal van Griethuysen & Marcos Arruda, 2008, « Penser et pratiquer le developpement autrement : une reflexion economique evolutive sur

l'altermondialisme, » unpublished paper.

demands a combination of planning and interaction of market forces, provided that the market be constituted by economic agents motivated by publicsocial motive.

Marcos Arruda - PACS Rio de Janeiro marruda@pacs.org.br





Address: Av. Rio Branco, 277 - sala 1609 -Centro - Rio de Janeiro/RJ

CEP.: 20040-009 - Telefax: 55 21 2210-2124

Website: www.pacs.org.br E-mail: pacs@pacs.org.br

Associada à ABONG - Associação Brasileira de Organizações Não Governamentais - desde 1991

Utilidade Pública Federal - Portaria nº 2.476, de 17 de dezembro de 2003 - Diário Oficial da União de 18/12/2003.

Utilidade Pública Estadual - Diário Oficial de 02/06/2003 - Lei nº 4.108.

Utilidade Pública Municipal – Diário da Câmara Municipal do Rio de Janeiro de 13/09/2004 – Lei nº 3832 de 09/09/2004

Inscrição nº 620 no Conselho Municipal de Assistência Social - CMAS, processo nº 08/015202/03, publicado no Diário Oficial do Município de 28/10/2003.

Who we are

Set up in 1986, provides eco-social advisory services, socio-economic research and educational action in collaboration with social movements, cooperatives and self-managed

associations, churches, municipal governments, ecumenical movement and others.

Goal

Our goal is to contribute to human self-development and to the construction of a critical and creative public opinion. It includes the promotion of transformative, participatory, technically competent public policies at local, national and global levels.

Methodology

Taking the Praxis Methodology as a foundation we work with people and organizations, for their individual and collective empowerment to become the subjects of their own history and development. Our actions unfold on three levels: the local, immediate, the meso-networking and medium-term - and the national-global and long-term.

Activities

Research, studies and critical thinking in the form of publications, radio and audiovisual programmes; alternative proposals, policies and development plans; advisory services and educational activities with social and ecumenical movements, city governments, and others; participation in regional and international networks.

Partners

CHRISTIAN AID (Reino Unido)
TRÓCAIRE (Irlanda)
Fundação Léopold Meyer para o Progresso
Humano - FPH (França e Suíça)
Ação Quaresmal (Lucerna, Suíça)
DKA (Áustria)
Pão para o Mundo (Alemanha)
Appleton Foundation (EUA)
Fundación para la Noviolencia (EUA)
Instituto Rosa Luxemburgo
Fundo Finlandês para Cooperação Local